

Scientific Update™

69TH SCIENTIFIC SESSION OF THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION, NOVEMBER 10-13, 1996, NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA

What Is the Optimal Dose of Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitors in Patients with Left Ventricular Dysfunction?

Presented by: ROBERT E. FOSTER

Reported and discussed by: Gordon Moe, MD

Introduction

The angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors are established therapeutic agents for patients with heart failure¹⁻³ as well as patients post myocardial infarction (MI) complicated by left ventricular (LV) dysfunction⁴ or clinical heart failure.⁵ Most placebo-controlled trials using ACE inhibitors in the treatment of heart failure or post MI have utilized fairly large doses,¹⁻⁵ yet physicians continue to use small doses of ACE inhibitors in clinical practice without knowledge whether these small doses are therapeutically equivalent to those employed in the large trials. There are some data to suggest that high doses of ACE inhibitors are superior to low doses in producing hemodynamic improvement and increasing exercise tolerance in patients with heart failure.^{6,7} However, the impact of low dose versus high dose of ACE inhibitors

on the progression of disease and therefore survival in patients with heart failure or patients post MI is unclear.

The study of low dose ramipril

The question of whether low dose ACE inhibition is equally effective as a higher dose in attenuating the increase in LV volume and mass in patients post MI was addressed in a paper presented at the recent 69th Scientific Sessions of the American Heart Association in New Orleans, Louisiana.⁸ In this study, 29 patients with LV ejection fraction <40% were randomized within 5 to 8 days of their first Q-wave MI to low dose (2.5 mg QD) or an upward titrated dose (5-10 mg QD) of the ACE inhibitor ramipril. Cine magnetic resonance (MR) imaging was performed 5 days and 3 months after MI.

Division of Cardiology

Luigi Casella, MD	Shaun Goodman, MD	Gordon W. Moe, MD	Duncan J. Stewart, MD (Head)
Robert J. Chisholm, MD	Robert J. Howard, MD	Juan Carlos Monge, MD	Bradley H. Strauss, MD
Paul Dorian, MD	Stuart Hutchison, MD	David Newman, MD	Kenneth R. Watson, MD
Michael R. Freeman, MD	Anatoly Langer, MD (Editor)	Trevor I. Robinson, MD	

St. Michael's Hospital
30 Bond St., Suite 701A
Toronto, Ontario M5B 1W8
Fax: (416) 864-5330

The opinions expressed are only those of the Divisional members. This publication is made possible through unrestricted grants.

Left ventricular volume and mass were calculated from the serial short axis cine-MR slices using Simpson's rule. The 2 study groups were comparable in age, the proportion of anterior MI (75 versus 79%, low versus titrated dose), the use of thrombolytic agents and primary angioplasty (80 versus 71%) as well as treatment with β -blockers, nitrates and calcium channel blockers.

Compared to baseline, systolic blood pressure at 3 months was unchanged in both the low dose (108 \pm 8 to 112 \pm 10 mm Hg) and the titrated dose group (117 \pm 21 to 112 \pm 22 mm Hg). Diastolic pressure was also unaltered in both groups. There were equal but non-significant increases in LV end diastolic volume in both groups. LV mass declined by similar magnitude in the low dose (82 \pm 18 to 70 \pm 14 gm/m², p=0.01) and the titrated dose group (99 \pm 28 to 85 \pm 24 gm/m², p=0.008). As a result, LV mass/volume ratio declined similarly in the low dose (1.25 \pm 0.21 to 1.01 \pm 0.14, p=0.0005) and the titrated dose group (1.56 \pm 0.26 to 1.17 \pm 0.19, p=0.005). LV wall thickness declined modestly but significantly in both groups. Of interest, LV ejection fraction increased significantly only in the low dose group (33 \pm 7 to 41 \pm 8%, p=0.005) and not in the titrated dose group (37 \pm 3 to 39 \pm 9%). Based on these data, the investigators concluded that low and titrated dose of ramipril produce a similar remodeling effect in patients post MI without changes in blood pressure.

Discussion of the study

These data need to be interpreted with caution for two reasons. First, although encouraging, the small sample size of the study exposes the results to the risk of type-II error. Second, the 3 month followup of the study is relatively short compared to other studies and it is possible that differences in response to ACE inhibition in the low versus titrated dose group would become discernable had the followup been extended to beyond three months. Indeed, the results of the current study contrast with those of the Healing and Early Afterload Reducing Therapy (HEART) study,⁹ which was presented in the last American Heart Association meeting and discussed in a previous issue of *Scientific Update*. In HEART, patients were randomized within 24 hours of an anterior MI to receive 1) placebo, i.e. delayed ACE inhibitor for 14 days, 2) low dose ramipril (0.625 mg QD), or 3) titration within 24 hours to full dose ramipril (10 mg QD). An important observation in HEART was that lowering of arterial pressure occurred even in the low dose group and was more pronounced in the titrated group. There are many possible explanations for the differences in observations in the 2 studies and these differences underscore the importance of comparing study groups over a long period of time on hard endpoints in order to address the

question whether low dose is equally effective as high dose ACE inhibition in attenuation of progression of disease in patients with LV dysfunction. One such study is ongoing. The Assessment of Treatment with Lisinopril And Survival (ATLAS) is an international multicenter trial comparing low dose versus high dose ACE inhibitor lisinopril in patients with heart failure. To date, close to 3000 patients with LV ejection fraction <30%, New York Heart Association functional class II to IV symptoms have been randomized to receive lisinopril 2.5 to 3.0 mg + placebo QD or lisinopril 30 mg QD. The primary endpoint is total mortality. The expected date of completion of the study is September 1997 and the results of the study will be presented in the American College of Cardiology meeting in the Spring of 1998.

Conclusion

ACE inhibitors have become one of the most exciting and important additions to the medical management of left ventricular dysfunction and heart failure (Table 1). This study is an important contribution to the evolving experience with this group of drugs in our continuous attempt to generalize observations from research studies to everyday practice of medicine. Whether low dose ACE inhibition can become an accepted treatment remains uncertain although the results of this study are encouraging. Until further confirmation of these results (and a longer experience) is available, clinicians should attempt their best to administer ACE inhibitors at dose ranges comparable to those employed in the secondary prevention trials.

Table 1: Summary of ACE Inhibitor Trials Post-MI

	DRUG	NO. OF PTS.	INCLUSION CRITERIA	START AND F/U DURATION	MAIN RESULT RE: MORTALITY REDUCTION	
	Consensus II ¹⁰	enalapril	6,090	MI	< 1 day to 6 mo.	not significant (stopped early)
	SAVE ⁴	captopril	2,231	MI, EF < 40%	3-16 days to 24-60 mo.	↓ 19% (p=0.014)
	AIRE ⁵	ramipril	2,006	MI, Clinical CHF	3-10 days to 6-30 mo.	↓ 27% (p=0.002)
	SMILE ¹¹	zofenopril	1,556	Anterior MI, non-thrombolysed	6-24 hr to 12 mo.	↓ 18% (p=0.001)
	TRACE ¹²	trandopril	1,749	MI, wall motion index ≤ 1.2	3-7 days to 24 mo.	↓ 24% (p=0.198)
	GISSI-3 ¹³	lisinopril	19,394	MI	under 1 day to 42 days	↓ 11% (p=0.03)
	ISIS-4 ¹⁴	captopril	58,050	MI	under 1 day to 1 month	↓ 7% (p=0.02)
	CCS-1 ¹⁵	captopril	13,634	MI	36 hr to 1 mo.	no significant reduction

References

1. Captopril Multicenter Research Group. A placebo-controlled trial of captopril in refractory congestive heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1983;4:755-63.
2. The CONSENSUS Trial Study Group. Effects of enalapril on mortality in severe congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 1987;316:1429-35.
3. The SOLVD Investigators. Effect of enalapril on survival in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fractions and congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 1991;325:293-302.
4. Pfeffer MA, Braunwald E, Moye LA, Basta L, Brown EJ Jr, Cuddy TE, Davis BR, Geitman EM, Goldman S, Flaker GC, Klein M, LaMAS GA, Packer M, Rouleau J, Rouleau JL, Rutherford J, Werthimer JH, Hawkins CM, on behalf of the SAVE Investigators. Effect of captopril on mortality and morbidity in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 1992;327:669-77.
5. The Acute Infarction ramipril Efficacy (AIRE) Study Investigators. Effect of ramipril on mortality and morbidity of survivors of acute myocardial infarction with clinical evidence of heart failure. *Lancet* 1993;342:821-28.
6. Uretsky BF. Lisinopril for severe congestive heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 1989;63:8D-11D.
7. Riegger GAJ. Effects of quinapril on exercise tolerance testing in patients with mild to moderate heart failure. *Eur Heart J* 1991;12:705-11.
8. Forster RE, Johnson DB, Barilla F, Kirk K, Blackwell GG, Roney M, Dell'Italia LJ. Effects of low versus titrated dose ramipril on left ventricular remodeling in patients after Q-wave myocardial infarction with asymptomatic left ventricular dysfunction. *Circulation* 1996;95:1189.
9. Pfeffer MA, LaMotte FS, Arnold JM, Rouleau JL, Goldman S, Greaves S, Klein M, Lamas GA, Lee RT, Lindpainter KC, Meapace FJ, Rapaport E, Ridker PM, Rutherford JD, Solomon SD, Timis GC, Warnica JW, Henekens CH. Titration of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibition in acute anterior infarction: the healing and early afterload reducing therapy (HEART) study. *Circulation* 1995;92:119.
10. Swedberg K, Held P, Kjekshus J, Rasmussen K, Ryden L, Wedel H, for the CONSENSUS II study group. Effects of early administration of enalapril on mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Results of the co-operative New Scandinavian Enalapril Survival Study II (CONSENSUS II). *N Engl J Med* 1992;327:678-84.
11. Ambrosioni F, Borghi C, Magnani B. for the Survival of Myocardial Infarction Long-term Evaluation (SMILE) Study Investigators. The effect of the angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor zofenopril on mortality and morbidity after anterior myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 1995;332:80-85.
12. TRACE Study Group. The Trandolapril Cardiac Evaluation (TRACE) study: rationale, design, and baseline characteristics of the screened population. *Am J Cardiol* 1995;73:44C-50C.
13. Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'Infarto Miocardico. GISSI-3: effects of lisinopril and transdermal glyceryl trinitrate singly and together on 6-week mortality and ventricular function after acute myocardial infarction. *Lancet* 1994;343:1115-22.
14. ISIS-4 Collaborative Group. ISIS-4: A randomized factorial trial assessing early oral captopril, oral mononitrate, and intravenous magnesium sulfate in 58,050 patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction. *Lancet* 1995;345:669-85.
15. Chinese Cardiac Study Collaborative Group. Oral captopril versus placebo among 13,634 patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction: interim report from the Chinese Cardiac Study (CCS-1). *Lancet* 1995;345:686-7.